

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Friday - 15 May 1964

1. [] Met with Samuel Archibald, House Information Subcommittee, who indicated that he could see no reason why an executive session with Agency representatives on polygraph would need be published. He will take this matter up together with Agency review of the committee report prior to publication with the Chairman on Monday.

25X1

[]

3. [] Talked with Joseph McIntyre, Administrative Assistant to Senator Kennedy, regarding a constituent [] [] had applied for a position with the Agency. I advised McIntyre that [] had been in touch with our recruiter, [] had been seen and that although we had no openings which would accommodate his employment at this time, matters had been left with the understanding that we would contact [] occur. McIntyre checked their office files here and found no indication of interest. He also indicated that as a general practice, their office tried not to interfere in personnel applications with the Agency. They advise an interested individual to contact us directly.

25X1

25X1

I took this opportunity to tell McIntyre that while we were aware of the fact that the Senator was extremely busy, we wanted to extend an invitation to him to visit the Agency at any time should he be interested. McIntyre said he appreciated the invitation and would pass it on to the Senator explaining, of course, that he was very much involved in the Civil Rights debate at the moment.

SECRET


SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Friday - 15 May 1964

Page 2

25X1 4. [] In response to his question regarding possible adverse effects [] contract were terminated, I advised David Martin, Staff Assistant to Senator Thomas J. Dodd, that as I had anticipated, our people took this into consideration when they made the original determination that the contract should not be renewed. Martin mentioned that Internal Security Subcommittee Counsel, Jay Sourwine, had been in touch with Senator Dodd today with a view to briefing Dodd and Senator Hruska on the status of [] (Since I saw the Senator yesterday and brought him up to date on the case, he should be prepared for this.) 25X1

25X1



25X1 6. [] Talked with Mrs. Scaffi, in the office of Senator Paul H. Douglas, regarding the case of [] had applied for a position with the Agency last September and who was rejected on grounds of suitability this past February. I told Mrs. Scaffi that while we regretted the time element involved in reaching a decision in her case, she was rejected on grounds of suitability. (I advised her of some of the details including low IQ, failure of eleven out of 26 semester hours in junior college, etc.) I emphasized that there were no loyalty factors involved and that as indicated in our letter, the fact [] is a Negro had nothing to do with the determination not to employ her. Mrs. Scaffi thanked me for providing this information and said she felt they could make an appropriate reply [] 25X1

25X1

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Friday - 15 May 1964

Page 3


25X1



25X1

cc: Ex Dir/Compt
A-DD/S
Mr. Chretien

7-1



JOHN S. WARNER
Legislative Counsel

SECRET